

## Questionnaire for Engineering Change Proposals (ECP).

**(U) Before submitting this ECP Questionnaire, please consult with your evaluator!**

(U) Request response by program manager concerning End Cryptographic Unit (ECU) Engineering Change Proposals (ECP). Any affirmative response needs to include sufficient detail to describe impact.

Nomenclature \_\_\_\_\_ ECP Document ID \_\_\_\_\_

PRISM Requirement **Number**-----

1. (U//FOUO) Who is the I4 evaluator supporting this ECP?

Bill McMillen

2. (U//FOUO) Has the evaluator concurred on the answers to this questionnaire?

Leave Blank

3. (U//FOUO) Is the product already certified?

4. (U//FOUO) Is this change only updating the documentation? Or does it involve a change to the design?

5. (U//FOUO) If this is an update to the documentation, is this ECP due to alternative parts, or error in the documentation?

6. (U//FOUO) Is this change in the security critical circuitry or software (that circuitry or software implementing IASRD requirements)?

7. (U//FOUO) Does this change affect processing within the Information Assurance functions?

8. (U//FOUO) Does this change affect a specific vulnerability countermeasure?
9. (U//FOUO) Does this change introduce new functionality to the device?
10. (U//FOUO) Has this change been evaluated and appropriate regression SVT been successfully accomplished? If not, why was it not needed? (If you didn't have an I4 evaluator involved in this decision, give details why you believe this is not needed.)
11. (U//FOUO) Has this change been functionally tested to ensure it is working as intended either in development of the change or on the production line? (IBVT/SPA)
12. (U//FOUO) Does the PM feel this change is appropriately covered by the old certificate, or is a new one needed?
13. (U//FOUO) Has the CACMB database been updated or a submission made, if necessary?

Include this completed form with the ECP documentation.